Sri M. RAMAPPA.—May I know the names of members of the panel?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also under correspondence.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—What is the future policy of the Government in regard to making recommendations of appointment of High Court Judges?

Mr. SPEAKER.—When we do not know the present policy, what is the use of trying to know the future policy?

Sri T. PARTHASARATHY.—Are there any ladies included in the panel?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also under correspondence (*Laughter*).

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Since the system of panel of names may bring in judges from other States to the Mysore State, will the Government see that people from the Mysore State are equally afforded chances of being appointed in the High Courts of other States.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The question of sending the panel itself is under consideration. All the States have not come to agreed grounds. The matter has to be finally decided.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—In practice it may so work out that advocates from other States may be appointed in the High Court here and advocates from here may not necessarily be appointed in other High Courts. Would the rights or chances of the advocates of Mysore State or people qualified for appointment to the High Court, be safeguarded?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Mysore Government would of course try to safeguard the interests of advocates practising here and also the district judges who are working here. Ultimately everything depends upon the Union Home Minister and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—In view of the fact that one of the Judges of the Mysore High Court is a Bengalee, has the Government taken steps to appoint a Mysorean to the Calcutta High Court? (*Laughter*)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why mere Calcutta High Court? Any place outside Mysore.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am asking whether Government have taken steps in this direction.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That question has not arisen so far.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Has Government come to the conclusion that there should not be more than ten permanent Judges for this High Court and if so on what basis?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Government has not come to such a conclusio... The present strength is ten. Out of ten seven are permanent and three are additional. The view of the Government is that the number should be increased to ten permanent Judges and two additional judges. After some time when arrears are cleared, the permanent number may be ten.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—While sending the panel; has the P.S.C. to be consulted?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—No, Sir.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—Is proper representation given in respect of Bench also while recommending names?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Generally the names of advocates and also names of District Judges are recommended and it is left to the Union Home Minister to select persous in consultation with the Chief Justice of Supreme Court.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—In the panel, how many are recruited directly and how many are promoted?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The matter is said to be under correspondence.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question Nos. 102 and 103 may be taken up together.

Constitution of a Committee to go into the Affairs of Bangalore Race Club.

*Q.—102. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether in view of the strong public feeling against the present management of the Bangalore Race Club, they propose to set up a committee to go into the affairs of the Bangalore Race Club to make suitable recommendations and put it on a proper footing in keeping with its pre-eminent position in the racing world in India?

A.—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

The appointment of any committee of the nature suggested is not considered to be necessary.

Membership of Government Officers in the Bangalore Race Club.

**Q.*—103. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether during the year 1949 they issued an order requiring all Government officers to resign from the membership of the Bangalore Race Club;

(*b*) whether the said Government order was subsequently revised and, if so, under what circumstances;

(*c*) whether after revision of the said orders they required the Race Club to restore the membership of the Government Officers who had resigned under their orders;

(*d*) if not, whether they propose to do so at least now?

A.—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The order issued in 1949 was revised in May 1950 permitting Government Officers in service to enrol themselves as members of the Bangalore Race Club but prohibiting them from becoming Stewards or Committee members.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Such a step is not considered necessary.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Why has Government come to the conclusion that it is not necessary?

†Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Very recently there was a comprehensive enquiry and accordingly the rules were modified.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is Government aware that the Stewards of the Bangalore Race Club are serving as Stewards of the South India Turf Club?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want notice.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Has not Government received a communication from the Government of Madras that in Madras the Government have prevented the Stewards of the Madras Race Club from being also Stewards of the South India Turf Club?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want notice. That supplementary does not arise directly out of the main question.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The reason why I have said that a Committee of Enquiry should be set up is that there are many irregularities in the administration of the Race Club. But the Government say that there is no need. I will now try to elicit answers to show that there is need. Are the Government aware that in regard to the expenditure incurred under T.A. and D.A., there has been no rule or regulation followed by some of the Stewards of the Race Club when they go to Bombay to buy horses?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I am not aware of this. The Race Club is autonomous and it would be very difficult to go into the question of D.A. and T.A. drawn by Stewards.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are Government aware that there are 3 Government nominees among the Stewards and have they not brought to the notice of the Government the enormous expenditure incurred by one or two of the Stewards in going to Bombay to buy horses?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We have our own representatives both as Stewards and also Members of the Committee. They have not brought this particular fact to the notice of the Government.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are Government aware that horses were bought at fabulous sums of money without even consulting the colleagues of the Stewards?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Government is not aware. The Hon'ble Member has brought this to my notice only yesterday.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are Government aware that their nominees are expected to make periodical reports after every Stewards' Meeting? Has any such report been submitted to the Government?